This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : C08L 83/04, C08F 283/12 C08G 77/06	A1	1	1) International Publication Number: 3) International Publication Date:	WO 93/08236 29 April 1993 (29.04.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/ (22) International Filing Date: 23 October 19	/US92/09/ 992 (23.10.		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, SE).	European patent (AT, BE, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL,
(30) Priority data: 794,292 25 October 1991 (25.1	0.91)	US	Published With international search repo	rt.
 (71) Applicant: NUSIL TECHNOLOGY [US/US]; Lane, Carpinteria, CA 93013 (US). (72) Inventor: NASH, Brian; 1326 Vallecito Place, 				
CA 93013 (US). (74) Agent: PETIT, Michael, G.; 510 Castillo Street bara, CA 93101 (US).	t, Santa B	lar-		
(54) Title: COMPOSITION AND METHOD FOR	R TEXTU	JRII	NG THE SURFACE OF ARTICLES	

(57) Abstract

Novel one and-two-part silicone dispersions are described which are suitable for applying a durable textured silicone surface on both silicone and non-silicone articles. The non-blocking surface is obtained by dip-coating a final layer over an article wherein the dispersion used for the final layers comprises a unique hydrophilic silica filler having a specific surface area of about 75-200 m² per gram, at least one crosslinkable polysiloxane and a crosslinking agent. The silica particles, securely imbedded within the final layer of silicone with portions thereof extending outwardly beyond the new outer silicone surface of the article, present a non-blocking, non-allergenic textured surface.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO:	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG.	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	1E	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	lТ	ltaly	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan .	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo		of Korca	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korca	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	Li	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
cs	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Vict Nam
Fi	Finland				

COMPOSITION AND METHOD FOR TEXTURING THE SURFACE OF ARTICLES

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates to a composition and method for making a silicone article that has a textured non-blocking surface.

2. Prior Art

3.

As is well known in the art, silicone articles may be formed by dipping or otherwise depositing a dispersion of silicone on an appropriate form. The dispersion may also contain a vulcanizing agent along with accelerators and other additives. After each layer of dispersion is deposited on the form, the layer is permitted to cure and the process is repeated until the outer shell of the article reaches the required thickness.

A smooth untreated elastomer surface has the physical characteristic of blocking; that is, the characteristic of sticking to itself and other surfaces. For some applications blocking is undesirable. For example, blocking is undesirable in latex, silicone and other elastomer gloves because it makes them difficult to don. Various lubricants and release agents have been dusted or otherwise applied to gloves to improve performance and overcome blocking. The shedding of these lubricants can cause undesirable side-effects, such as contamination, infection and foreign body reactions due to powders from gloves entering the wound during surgical procedures. As a result, much of the early work on

,

textured surfaces was performed on latex surgical gloves. U.S. Patent No. 3,761,965, discloses a sanitary glove having a textured surface provided by a granular vinyl chloride polymer imbedded on the surface of the film from which the glove is manufactured. This glove is suitable for donning without the use of a lubricant such as powder. However, this type of glove has the same type of problem as talc-dusted gloves in that particulates may enter a wound causing an adverse foreign body reaction. Dusted gloves are, therefore, not generally suitable for surgical procedures.

U.S. Patent No. 1,983,963 discloses a method of providing a textured surface on a rubber glove which subjects the vulcanized surface of the glove to the action of a rubber solvent or swelling agent such as naphtha, benzol, or gasoline either by immersion of by subjecting the surfaces to the fumes of these chemicals. This process, which is similar to etching, has not enjoyed widespread use and is not adaptable to silicone.

U.S. Patent No. 4,143,109, issued to Stockum, describes a method of dip-coating a first layer of natural rubber latex onto a glove form, the form having the general contour of a human hand, followed by dip-coating a second layer containing particulates which are randomly distributed throughout dispersion. After curing, the glove is inverted and may be donned without the use of lubricants. The general method developed by Stockum for latex

articles has not been transferrable to silicone articles because the particulates taught by Stockum for use with latex would weaken the outermost layers of silicone substantially.

۶

i_n:

surface.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The foregoing inventions have been developed for latex 4 articles. U.S. Patent no. 4,061,709 to Miller, et al., describes 5 a method for manufacturing silicone rubber gloves with a non-6 blocking surface by repeatedly dipping a form in a solvent 7 dispersion of uncured silicone rubber to build up a plurality of 8 layers and then forming a layer of liquid droplets on the surface 9 of the article thereby forming an irregular or textured surface as 10 the solvent evaporates. Utilization of this method for physically 11 altering the exterior uniformly from lot to lot requires a highly 12 controlled manufacturing environment which is difficult and 13 expensive to maintain. The present invention utilizes a unique 14 dispersion which produces a uniformly textured, non-blocking 15

There are other areas where a non-organic, non-contaminating, non-blocking, non-allergenic, texturized surface with low reflectivity and high diffusivity would be highly advantageous. Some space applications demand low reflectivity or high diffusivity in paints and coatings. Epoxies, and almost all organic coatings other than silicone, are not durable enough to withstand the highly oxidizing atmospheres that satellites must

For example, an anti-blocking silicone surface is 1 necessary in a bellows or in rolled solar array to facilitate 2 separation of adjacent surfaces during deployment. Until now, 3 prior art silicone technology has not been able to produce 4 contaminant-free silicone anti-blocking, low reflectivity, high 5 diffusivity outer layers which are sufficiently durable to 6 withstand the physical and chemical properties of these extreme 7 environments. 8

SUMMARY OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

9 The invention general contemplates providing a new and 10 improved composition and process which enables both silicone and 11 non-silicone articles to be coated with silicone and textured in 12 Texturizing is brought about by the incorporation of 13 hydrophilic silica in a silicone dispersion used for a final 14 coating or texturing dip. In the final dispersion of the dipping 15 process, the silica particles are incorporated within the outermost 16 layer and stand up away from the surface providing a textured 17 unblocking surface, while, at the same time, not substantially 18 weakening the article or permitting delamination of the textured 19 final layer. 20

It is an object of the invention to provide a composition for making a silicone article having an integral textured nonblocking outer surface, which surface is formed in situ; and to

provide a method for making the same.

~ 19

. É

It is yet another object of this invention to provide a composition and method useful for applying a textured silicone coating to both silicone and non-silicone articles.

It is yet a further object of the invention to provide a composition for applying a contaminant-free outer surface to an article.

It is still a further object of the invention to provide a composition for applying a textured, non-allergenic outer surface to an article.

DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

This invention teaches the addition of hydrophilic silical preferably in the size range of 2-10 microns, to elastomer dispersion to provide a textured non-blocking silicone surface coating on articles dipped therein. Dipping articles in elastomer dispersions is well known in the art of applying elastomer coatings. Suitable silicone dispersions for applying smooth, non-textured coatings to articles are also well known in the art. What has been unknown in the art until the invention thereof by the present inventor is the addition of hydrophilic silica to a silicone dispersion to render a textured surface to articles coated therewith.

The addition of colloidal silica to elastomer dispersions

is also well known in the art. The function of added colloidal 1 silica is to strengthen the elastomer upon curing. Colloidal 2 silica, which is hydrophobic (organophilic), is obtained by the 3 surface treatment of silica with organic moieties to generate a 4 particulate species capable of colloidal dispersion. While the 5 addition of colloidal silica to a silicone dispersion strengthens 6 the resultant elastomer layer, the dispersion is inoperative for 7 providing a textured surface to an article coated therewith. 8 Surprisingly, adding hydrophilic silica, preferably in the size 9 range 2-10 microns, to a dispersion does provide a textured surface 10 to an article coated therewith. Colloidal silica is too dense for 11 the particles to "float" to the surface while the layer is curing. 12 It is important that the silica particles have a large specific 13 surface area and a density such that the hydrophilic silica 14 particles "float" in an elastomer dispersion thereby migrating to 15 the surface of a layer coated with such dispersion. 16

Example 1: FIRST PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The present invention is directed to the task of improving the surface morphology of articles, including silicone articles, to provide a textured surface which has a lower coefficient of friction than a smooth surface and which is suitable for coating articles such as surgical gloves which presently require lubricants to enable donning.

٥

3,

1.2

In accordance with the invention, the surface morphology of articles is substantially improved by bonding to the surface a silicone coating comprising the reaction product of a composition comprising: (1) at least one crosslinkable polysiloxane; (2) silica filler; (3) crosslinking catalyst; (4) a crosslinking agent. The coating may be applied to the surface from a liquid vehicle, preferably a volatile organic solvent, followed by heating to drive off the organic solvent or other liquid vehicle and to effect crosslinking. The manner of application, whether by dipping, flowing, spraying, etc. is a matter of individual choice and per se comprises no part of this invention.

An example of a two-part silicone dispersion which, when combined and applied to the surface of an article, and allowed to cure, produces a durable textured, non-blocking surface on said article, is as follows:

16		Part A	Part B
17		% by weight	% by weight
18	Trifluoropropyl methyl polysiloxane	0 - 100%	0 - 100%
19	Dimethyl polysiloxane	0 - 100%	9 - 100%
20	Dimethyl diphenyl polysiloxane	0 - 30%	30 - 0%

Catalyst	0 - 20 ppm	-0-
X linker	-0-	0 - 10%
Hydrophilic silica	1 - 20%	1 - 20%
Reinforcing silica	0 - 40%	0 - 40%

The coating composition of this invention is readily prepared by mixing the ingredients under ambient temperature and pressure. The surface may then be coated by per se known techniques, dipping in the coating solution being more efficacious.

Crosslinking, as well as solvent removal, is effected by heating at a temperature and for a time to effect complete cure as well as solvent removal. Since there is a time-temperature relationship to effect cure, the time and temperature are not susceptible to precise quantitative statements. On a bench scale, heating at about 150°C for about 30 minutes is effective.

Example 2: SECOND PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

A second system which is suitable for using as a final coat dispersion for producing textured surfaces on silicone and non-silicone articles is a one-part system similar to the two-part system described in Example 1. The one-part system utilizes, for example, dimethyl polysiloxane (0-100%), Dimethyl diphenyl polysiloxane (30-0%), hydrophilic silica having a specific surface

1	area between 75-200 square meters per gram (0-20%), tris
2	(methylethyl ketoximo) methylsilane (<10%) and a catalyst (organo-
3	tin complex) in naphtha. Before the above dispersion is suitable
4 .	for a final application, the percentage of texturing silica (e.g.
5	Degussa TS-100) must be adjusted to fall within the range of about
6	0-20% by solids weight. Solvent for the final dilution runs from
7	0-70% by solids weight.
8	
9	·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

PCT/US92/09039 WO 93/08236

CLAIMS

2	What	T	claim	is:

1

- 1. In a one or two part dispersion of a silicone elastomer in a organic solvent, the improvement comprising the addition of hydrophilic silica to said dispersion to provide a textured surface to an article coated with said dispersion.
- 7 2. The improved dispersion of Claim 1 wherein the 8 hydrophilic silica has a particle size of 2-10 micrometers and a 9 specific surface area of 75-200 square meters per gram.
- 10 3. The improved dispersion of Claim 1 wherein said silicone 11 elastomer is a copolymer comprising dimethyl polysiloxane.
- 12 4. The improved dispersion of Claim 1 wherein said elastomer 13 is a copolymer comprising dimethyl diphenyl polysiloxane.
- 14 5. The improved dispersion of Claim 2 wherein said silicone 15 elastomer is a copolymer comprising dimethyl polysiloxane.
- 6. The improved dispersion of Claim 2 wherein said elastomer is a copolymer comprising dimethyl diphenyl polysiloxane.
- 7. An article having a textured outer surface made by the process comprising (a) coating the article in a dispersion of silicone elastomer in an organic solvent to which dispersion hydrophilic silica has been added; and (b) curing the coating.

\$

4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US92/09039

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: \$24/860, 869; 525/45, 252/18 Documentation scarched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched followed by classification symbols) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, scarch terms used) Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, scarch terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim U.S., A. 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL.) 1.7 1.7 1.7 2.5,6 1.7 1.7 1.7 LUS, A. 4,569,111 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see cative document. A. U.S., A. 4,569,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see cative document. U.S., A. 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL.) 02 MAY 1978 1.7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family namex. 1.7 Late decument published after the international filing data or printing of the tomour published on or after the international filing data or printing of the small occument published prior to the international filing data or printing of the smaller printing of the international search report of the stables of the published and printing of the international search report 0.5 JAN 1993 Name and mailing address of the ISAA Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISAA Authorized officer	US CL : According to	:524/860, 869; 525/474; 528/18 o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	tional classification and IPC	
U.S. : 524/860, 869; 525/474; 528/18 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 I.7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Further documents are listed on or after the international filing data or proving continuation of the state of the st	R FIRE	DS SEARCHED		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, scarch terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents 4. Special categories of cited documents 5. Special categories of cited documents 6. Counted foliage the general data of the str which is not considered to be part of particular relevance, the claimed invention and counter the counter of particular relevance, the claimed invention and counter of p	Minimum d	ocumentation searched (classification system followed b	y classification symbols)	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of claid documents: 'A' document defining the possul state of the set which is not considered to be put of probleder relevance to the set which is not considered to be put of probleder relevance. 'B' excell consumed defining the possul state of the set which is not considered to the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the set which the application but claid to understand the problem of the set on some contraction of the set			_	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of claid documents: 'A' document defining the possul state of the set which is not considered to be put of probleder relevance to the set which is not considered to be put of probleder relevance. 'B' excell consumed defining the possul state of the set which is not considered to the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the put of probleder relevance to the claim of the set which is not considered to the set which the application but claid to understand the problem of the set on some contraction of the set	Danimanto	ion seembed other than minimum documentation to the e	xtent that such documents are include	d in the fields searched
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. * Special categories of cited documents A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further document published on the state of the sit which is not considered to be part of particular relevance of the state o	Documenta	doll scarcines only than manners		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. * Special categories of cited documents A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further document published on the state of the sit which is not considered to be part of particular relevance of the state o			1l	search terms used)
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL.) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL.) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL.) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. * Special categories of cited documents: * S	Electronic o	iata base consulted during the international search (nam	e of data base and, where practicator	o, source commo accep
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL.) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL.) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL.) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. * Special categories of cited documents: * S				
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL.) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL.) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL.) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. * Special categories of cited documents: * S				
Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the learning passages. X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL.) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL.) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,660,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL.) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 See patent family annex. 1-7 See patent family an	C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,687,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Further documents defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance "E" earlier documents which may throw doubt on priority chain(s) or which is cited to entablished on or after the international filing date of particular relevance the claimed invention can considered avoid or cannot be considered to involve an inventive an inventive and the considered to involve an inventive and the	Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	ropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKE ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' carrier document published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (see specified) 'O' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 'Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 2,5,6 1-7 1-7 1-7 See patent family annex. T Take document published after the international filing date or priority date in the international filing date or priority date of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to i	Category			
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document published on or after the international filing date to be part of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date to perceive the claimed invention cana special reason (se specified) "C" document published on or after the international filing date to the occasidered to involve an inventive step when the document published completed to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document published prior to the international filing date that relevance the particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana cana considered to invo				
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document published on or after the international filing date to be part of particular relevance "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date to perceive the claimed invention cana special reason (se specified) "C" document published on or after the international filing date to the occasidered to involve an inventive step when the document published completed to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document published prior to the international filing date that relevance the particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana cana considered to invo				İ
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKE ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' carrier document published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (see specified) 'O' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 'Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 2,5,6 1-7 1-7 1-7 See patent family annex. T Take document published after the international filing date or priority date in the international filing date or priority date of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to i				
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKE ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' carrier document published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (see specified) 'O' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 'Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 2,5,6 1-7 1-7 1-7 See patent family annex. T Take document published after the international filing date or priority date in the international filing date or priority date of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to i				
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKE ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' carrier document published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (see specified) 'O' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 'Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 2,5,6 1-7 1-7 1-7 See patent family annex. T Take document published after the international filing date or priority date in the international filing date or priority date of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to i				
X US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKE ET AL) 11 FEBRUARY 1986, see entire document. A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 1-7 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' carrier document published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (see specified) 'O' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 'Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 2,5,6 1-7 1-7 1-7 See patent family annex. T Take document published after the international filing date or priority date in the international filing date or priority date of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cana considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to i				
1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7		TO SEE THE SEE AT LONG TO SEE AT LON		1-7
A US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'B' cartier document published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 05 JAN 1993	X	US, A, 4,569,980 (SASAKI ET AL)		2,5,6
US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL) 21 AUGUST 1990, see entire document. US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' earlier document published on or after the international filing date to the cited to entablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document freering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	Y	II PEBROARI 1986, see dime		1-7
A US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. A US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. To document published after the international filing date or price of the formal principle or theory underlying the invention and considered to be part of particular relevance to the international filing date. To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified). To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report O5 JAN 1993	A	US, A, 4,950,502 (SAAM ET AL)		1.
US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985, see entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance in the principle or theory underlying the invention document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention of the invention of the invention of the invention of the invention				1
See entire document. US, A, 4,087,399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 1978 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance 'E' earlier document published on or after the international filing date to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed invention of the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed invention of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	A	US, A, 4,560,711 (SUZUKI) 24 DECEMBER 1985	; ,	1-7
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance Carlier document published on or after the international filing date or priority document published on or after the international filing date or priority document published on or after the international filing date or priority document published on or after the international filing date or priority document published on or after the international filing date or priority document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report O5 JAN 1993		see entire document.		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Let document published after the international filing date or principle or theory underlying the invention but cited to understand to be part of particular relevance to the particular relevance to earlier document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) Considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be obtained in the step of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance in the considered to invol		US A 4 087 399 (HAMADA ET AL) 02 MAY 19	78	1-7
Further documents are instead in the contaments. Special categories of cited documents: 'A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	Α .	03, A, 4,007,555 (1111111111111111111111111111111111		
Further documents are instead in the continuence. Special categories of cited documents: 'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance to invention and invention cannot document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992				
Further documents are instead in the contaments. Special categories of cited documents: 'A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	i			
Special categories of cited documents: 'A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to examine the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P* document published after the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 'Z* later document published after the international filing date or theory underlying the invention cann considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive when the document taken alone 'A* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cann considered to involve an inventive wh	Fur	ther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered document published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(a) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	_		"T" later document published after the	DRCHTOG BOT FIRST IN ANNALYS
E carlier document published on or after the international filing date "L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(a) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "p* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	• • •	comment defining the general state of the art which is not considered	principle or theory underlying the	MACHINON
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	1	o be part of particular relevance	and sovel or cannot be con	INCIDE IN INVADIAC ON BRANCHES
cited to establish the publication date of another special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	i		when the documents taken alone	•
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992	i i	cited to establish the publication date of another change of		
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992 Date of mailing of the international search 05 JAN 1993	.0-	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	- Line of mich can or more officer	BUCK GOCKINGER, SOUTH TOURS
Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 December 1992 Date of mailing of the international search 05 JAN 1993	1 :	means		
Date of the actual completion of the Alexander 1993 22 December 1992		the priority date claimed		
22 December 1992	Date of th	e actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international	Company to be a second
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Authorized officer Williams Willi	22 Dan	mber 1992	LEEL MACGA	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks	L		Authorized officer /	Minson H
	Name and	mailing address of the ISA/	MARGARET GLASS	10,010
Washington, D.C. 2021 Telephone No. (703) 308-2351	Box PC	ton, D.C. 20231	Telephone No. (703) 308-2351	v